



Paying for Value

## General practice bulk-billing incentives: the case of the missing MBS items

---

Author: Kees van Gool

Data Corner Bulletin 2 | Version 1.0 | Last Updated: 06/07/2021

Please cite as: *van Gool, K., General practice bulk-billing incentives: the case of the missing MBS items, Paying for Value Data Corner Bulletin 2, CHERE, University of Technology Sydney, URL: <https://p4v.org.au/data-corner/>*



## General practice bulk-billing incentives: the case of the missing MBS items

### Background

In 2004, the Australian Government introduced a range of incentives aimed at boosting bulk-billing rates. The general practice incentive consists of a financial payment to doctors who bulk-bill patients who are concession cardholders or children aged less than 16 years. The incentive is claimed as a separate MBS item and paid in addition to the consultation item.

There are two incentive levels: for practices in metropolitan areas the incentive payment (at the time of introduction) was \$5 and for practices in rural, remote, outer-metropolitan regions and some selected metropolitan regions was \$7.50. For up-to-date information on the incentive amount visit <http://www.mbsonline.gov.au> and search for the following MBS items: 10990, 10991 and 10992.

GP bulk-billing incentive payment eligibility criteria for children and card holders (\$ at the time of introduction)

	<u>Low Incentive Regions</u> Metropolitan	<u>High Incentive Regions</u> Rural, remote and some outer-metropolitan
GP bulk-billing incentive payment (February 2004a)	\$5 additional reimbursement to GP	\$7.50 additional reimbursement to GPs

Since the introduction of these incentives, the expenditure on the GP bulk-billing items alone has increased from \$337 million in 2005 to \$962 million in 2020.



### The Problem

Across numerous data studies, we have noticed that the GP bulk-billing MBS items (10990, 10991 and 10992) are missing after a certain year. For example, in the MBS data linked to Sax Institute's 45 and Up study, the items appear in the data for consultations prior to January 2009 but disappear after this date. Similar problems have been observed in the MBS data linked to the Australian Longitudinal Study for Women's Health (ALSWH) and many other MBS data extracts that we have worked with.

This missing data has two implications. First, it underestimates the true cost of GP non-referred consultations to the Australian Government. Second, it significantly reduces the analyst's ability to identify concession cardholders within the MBS dataset.

### The Proposed Solution

**For children**, the solution is relatively simple. Most MBS dataset contains information on the age (in years) of the patient. Hence, for any bulk-billed non-referred consultation to a child aged under 16, the cost of the incentive item can be added to the benefits paid by Medicare and the fee charged by the provider. Bulk-billed attendances can be identified by looking at out-of-hospital non-referred attendances where the OOP cost is zero (or the provider fee is equal to the benefit paid).

Depending on the practice location, either the low or high incentive payment is added to the provider fee and Medicare benefit. A list of postcodes where the high incentive amount applies are in the [Annex: High incentive postcodes prior to January 2020](#), for all other postcodes the low incentive payment applies. Note that, as of January 2020, there was a change in the definition of high incentive areas. Details of the change can be found [here](#).



Whilst the proposed solution for children is relatively simple, it does highlight the need to seek patient age and provider postcode data when making MBS extraction requests.

Our proposed solution is to identify **concession cardholders** through another dataset that can be linked to the MBS data. The solution applicable to you depends on what other datasets are available to you. Below we describe three alternative data sources that can be used to ascertain concession cardholder status.

**1. PBS data:** This data can be used to identify concession cardholders by looking at the level of copayment. At the start of the calendar year, concession cardholders will be contributing the low PBS copay (as of Jan 2021, \$6.60 but it varies slightly from year to year). If the copayment is low, then the patient is a concession cardholder. Once all patients are identified in this way, these data should then be merged with the MBS data.

The cost of the incentive item can then be added to the benefits paid by Medicare and the fee charged by the provider for all bulk billed non-referred consultations where the patient is concession card holder. Bulk-billed attendances can be identified by looking at out-of-hospital non-referred attendances where the OOP cost is zero (or the provider fee is equal to the benefit paid). As described in the note on children (above), the amount of the bulk-billing incentive will differ by practice location.

The advantage of the PBS data is that it will be able to observe changes to concession card status if the researcher has access to multiple years of PBS data. The disadvantage is that it only works for patients who have made a PBS claim.

**2. Survey data:** Many cohort studies (such as the 45 and Up study, ALSWH) have survey data that can be linked to administrative data such as MBS. Most surveys ask participants to self-



report their concession card status. This information can then be linked to the MBS data and a similar process (as outlined for the children and PBS solutions, above) can be used to identify consultations where the bulk-billing incentive payment should be added.

The advantage of this approach is that, unlike the PBS approach, it does not require a claim. The disadvantage is that the information is self-reported (and may be missing) and concession card status data can become outdated, particularly when there are long time intervals between the survey observation period and the MBS data. This is particularly important for those below the pension age, where concession card status changes frequently. For those who have reached pension age, concession card status tends to be more stable.

**3. Centrelink data:** some studies are now able to link to Centrelink data, including information on concession card status. The Longitudinal Study of Australian Children is an example and so is the Multi-Agency Data Integration Project (MADIP). Researchers can request Centrelink data to ascertain those with a concession card and link this information back to the MBS data. From there, a similar approach to that described in the children and PBS solution can be used to add bulk-billing incentive payments.

Using Centrelink data promises to be a very accurate method to identify concession cardholders. The disadvantage is that not many datasets, at this stage can be linked to such data.

### Recommendation

Although the potential solutions outlined above offer a viable workaround to the missing bulk-billing data problem, the best solution would be for the Department of Human Services to reinstate the release of the incentive payment data. Whilst we have communicated these



recommendations through various channels, we have thus far been unsuccessful. However, if more researchers raise this as an issue, there is a greater chance that it will be resolved.

In the meantime, researchers should look at the potential solutions and decide on the best method for them, noting that the problem is confined to research where either costs or the identification of concession card status is essential.

### Further reading

Wong, C.Y., Greene, J., Dolja-Gore, X. and van Gool, K., 2017. The Rise and Fall in Out-of-Pocket Costs in Australia: An Analysis of the Strengthening Medicare Reforms. *Health Economics*, 26(8), pp.962-979.



## Annex: High incentive postcodes prior to January 2020

In addition to all postcode with RRMA category 3 to 7, practices located in the following postcodes were also eligible to the higher incentive payment prior to January 2020.

Higher incentive-metropolitan PostCode Areas (PCAs) by State					
Australian Capital Territory		Postcode	New South Wales		PostCode
Aranda & Cook & others		2614	Gosford		2250
Charnwood, Dunlap and others		2615	Wyong		2259
Belconnen		2616	Newcastle		2300
Bruce, Evatt, and other		2617	Newcastle remainder		2302
Hall		2618	Maitland		2320
Mitchell		2911	Cessnock		2325
Gungahlin		2912	Port Stephens		2315
Ngunnawal		2913	Jerrabomberra		2619
Amaroo		2914	<b>Northern Territory</b>		
Russell		2600	Darwin		0800
Acton		2601	Darwin post office box		0801
Ainslie		2602	Parap-PO BOX		0804
Braddon		2612	Alawa and others		0810
Quenabeyan		2620	Anula and others		0812
Forrest		2603	Karama		0813
Kingston		2604	Fannie Bay and others		0820
Fyshwick		2609	Winnellie PO BOX		0821
Greenway		2900	Winnellie PO BOX		0822
Tuggeranong		2901	Litchfield		0831
Kambah		2902	Driver		0830
Oxley		2903	Bakewell		0832
Fadden		2904	<b>South Australia</b>		
Bonython		2905	Marion		5043
Banks		2906	Mitcham		5062
Chapman and all others		2611	Morphett		5162
Curtin		2605	Onkaparinga Hills		5163
Chifley		2606	<b>Victoria</b>		
Farrer		2607	Ringwood		3134
<b>Queensland</b>			Ringood2		3135



## Paying for Value

Beaudesert	4285
Ipswich	4305
Palm Island	4816
Bray Park	4500
Lawnton	4501
Petrie	4502
Dakabin	4503
Kelso	4805
Thuringowa	4814
Kirwan	4817
City	4810
Ooonooba	4811
Currajong	4812
Magnetic Island	4819
<b>Western Australia</b>	
Bayswater	6053
Bassendean	6054
West Swan	6055
Middle Swan	6056
Upper Swan	6069
Mundaring	6073
Kalamunda	6076
Kalamunda-PO Box	6926
Bayswater-PO box	6933
Bassendean-PO Box	6934
Bassendean-PO Box	6942
Melville	6156
East Fremantle	6158
Fremantle other 2	6159
Fremantle Inner	6160
Fremantle other	6162
Cockburn	6164
Kwinana beach	6167
Rockingham	6168
Kwinana	6966

Croydon	3136
Knox	3152
Frankston	3199
Corio	3214
North Geelong	3215
Geelong West	3218
East Geelong	3219
Geelong	3220
Bellarine	3221
Wyndham	3024
Meton	3337
Mornington	3931
Hallam	3803
Berwick	3806
Cardinia	3810
Cranbourne	3977
Yarra Ranges South, Central & SW	3799